1 The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 10 Plaintiff. NO. CR09-0159MJP 11 v. ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO 12 GALINA KRAVCHENKO, CONTINUE TRIAL AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE 13 Defendant. 14 THIS MATTER is before the Court upon the Joint Motion to Continue Trial and 15 Pretrial Motions Deadline. Having considered all the files and records herein, the Court finds: 16 (1) that taking into account the exercise of due diligence, the failure to grant a 17 continuance in this case would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary 18 for effective preparation, due to counsel's need for more time to review the evidence, consider 19 possible defenses, and gather evidence materials to the defense, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. 20 $\S 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)$; and 21 (2) that a failure to grant such a continuance in this proceeding would likely result in a 22 miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i); and 23 (3) that the additional time requested is a reasonable period of delay, as the defendant 24 has requested more time to prepare for trial, to investigate the matter, to gather evidence

skellengerbender

material to the defense, and to consider possible defenses; and

25

26

1	(4) that the
2	preparation for p
3	forth in 18 U.S.C
4	(5) that th
5	justice outweigh
6	forth in 18 U.S.C
7	(6) that th
8	2015, and the nev
9	time necessary to
10	IT IS THE
11	the pretrial motion
12	17, 2015, and the
13	DATED t
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Presented by: SKELLENGER
19	
20	s/ Peter Offenber
21	Peter Offenbecher@s poffenbecher@s Attorneys for Ga
22	
23	ANNETTE L. HA Acting United Sta
24	
25	Tessa Gorman, W Thomas Woods Assistant United
26	
	[PROPOSED] ORDI

(4) that the case is sufficiently complex that it is unreasonable to expect adequate
preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the current trial schedule, as set
forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii); and

- ne ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and that the ends of the best interests of the public and the defendant in any speedier trial, as set . § 3161(h)(7)(A); and
- ne additional time requested between the original trial date of February 17, w trial date is necessary to provide counsel for the defendant the reasonable prepare for trial, considering all of the facts set forth above.

EREFORE ORDERED that the trial is continued to March 16, 2015, and that ons deadline is set for February 26, 2015. The period of time from February new trial date is excludable time pursuant to the authorities set forth above.

his 10th day of February, 2015.

Marsha J. Pechman

United States District Judge

Maisley Relens

BENDER, P.S.

cher

er, WSBA No. 11920 kellengerbender.com alina Kravchenko

AYES

ates Attorney

/SBA No. 35908

States Attorneys